

The Importance of Deep Vein Thrombosis Prophylaxis in Laparoscopy Patients

Laparoscopy is a common surgical minimally invasive procedure undertaken using a specialised endoscope and instruments which access the abdominal cavity via small incisions. Laparoscopy is used for both diagnosis and treatment of a wide range of conditions and increasingly is being used by general, urological and gynaecological surgeons to undertake complex procedures which a few years ago would have only been possible via a laparotomy.

During laparoscopy, in order to create space, improve visualization and enable instrument manipulation, the abdominal cavity must first be filled with an insufflating gas to create a pneumoperitoneum. As a result of this, the pressure in the abdominal cavity increases by approximately 10-15 mmHg. Dependent upon the type of laparoscopic surgery to be performed patients may be positioned head up, head down in lithotomy, supine or laterally¹.

Pneumoperitoneum, the effects of anaesthesia and positional changes for laparoscopic surgery all induce haemodynamic changes¹. Increased intra-abdominal pressure and a head up position results in pooling of venous blood in the legs which in turn reduces flow in the inferior vena cava and a reduced cardiac output¹.

Why does laparoscopic surgery place the patient at risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE) development?

Venous Stasis

Laparoscopic surgery is often associated with longer operating times compared to open procedures². Administration of a general anaesthetic causes a loss of normal physiological muscle contraction in the lower limbs³ and also results in distension of the deep veins of the leg decreasing venous return⁴.

Both a 'head up' position and the elevated intra-abdominal pressure as a result of pneumoperitoneum independently can reduce venous blood return from the lower extremities by as much as 40%⁵.

Alteration in fibrinolytic activity

Vessel damage and trauma during the operative procedure lead to the enhanced release of circulating procoagulants. It has been established for many years that major surgery is accompanied by a recognised reduction in the spontaneous fibrinolytic activity of the blood, a so-called 'fibrinolytic shutdown'^{6,7,8,9,10}. This phenomenon is reported to commence during or soon after the surgical procedure and last for at least 3

days^{6,9}. Despite less tissue trauma in laparoscopic surgery, no differences in fibrinolytic capacity have been identified between patients undergoing conventional versus laparoscopic surgery^{11,12,13,14}.

Venous Thromboembolism Prophylaxis

Although the incidence of VTE is significantly lower after laparoscopic versus open surgery^{14,15}, there is still significant risk of VTE during complex and prolonged laparoscopic procedures particularly where there are additional risk factors¹⁶.

The use of intermittent pneumatic compression (IPC) to the lower limbs can counteract the negative effects of elevated intra-abdominal pressure and body position on venous blood return from the lower extremities^{5,17,18}. The European Association for Endoscopic Surgery recommends that intra-operative IPC is used for all prolonged laparoscopic procedures⁵.

Mechanical and biochemical effects of FLOWTRON® DVT Prophylaxis systems

Prevention of venous stasis

Use of FLOWTRON DVT Prophylaxis Systems prevents venous stasis by active augmentation of blood

flow^{19,20,21,22,23,24,25}. This reduces stasis, flushes valve pockets where thrombi originate, decreases venous hypertension and decreases interstitial oedema²⁶.

Increases fibrinolytic activity

Use of *FLOWTRON* DVT Prophylactic Systems results in an increase in the fibrinolytic activity of the blood^{27,28}, suppression of procoagulant factors²⁷ and may assist in the reversal or prevention of fibrinolytic shutdown.

Clinical studies using the *FLOWTRON* DVT Prophylaxis Systems

Clinical studies undertaken in surgical patients utilising *FLOWTRON* DVT Systems have established high levels of efficacy combined with excellent patient compliance and freedom from adverse effects^{3,29,30,31,32}.

An additional benefit is that when *FLOWTRON* DVT Systems were used as the method of prophylaxis compared to low molecular weight heparin, the operative field was drier and easier to work in^{30,32,33}.

Comparative studies^{34,35} have identified that use of the *FLOWTRON* DVT Prophylactic System was as effective as use of low molecular weight heparin in preventing DVT and PE. There was significantly lower cost and no side effects associated with use of the *FLOWTRON* Systems.

A recent study comparing thrombotic and haemorrhagic complications after urological laparoscopic surgery concluded that both heparin and the *FLOWTRON* DVT Prophylactic System were equally effective in VTE prophylaxis yet heparin was associated with a significant number of haemorrhagic complications³⁶.

Duration of prophylaxis

IPC should be commenced pre-operatively if the patient is immobile and then continued intraoperatively as DVT often develops as a result of the surgical procedure. While laparoscopic procedures are associated with shorter hospitalisation, evidence based guidelines and consensus papers^{2,37} highlight the requirement for prophylaxis to continue until the patient is fully ambulatory which may mean prophylaxis is continued after discharge.

Additional benefits of IPC

Recent research has suggested that use of IPC significantly improves both cardiac function and visceral perfusion during positive pressure pneumoperitoneum and is recommended for prolonged laparoscopic procedures^{38,39}. Cerebral oxygen saturation has also been found to be improved using IPC during laparoscopic cholecystectomy⁴⁰.

Conclusion

Laparoscopic surgery results in less tissue trauma, decreased patient pain, increased patient mobility and shortened hospital stays⁴¹, all of which are clearly advantageous for both the patient and the healthcare provider.

However several factors associated with laparoscopic surgery increase risk of morbidity and mortality from VTE. The *FLOWTRON* DVT Prophylactic System can provide safe and costeffective prophylaxis.

References

1. Enright A (1996). Minimally invasive surgery – new anaesthetic challenges. At <http://www.anesthesia.org>. Last accessed 16th August 2005.
2. Geerts WH, Bergqvist D, Pineo GF et al (2008). Prevention of venous thromboembolism: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). *Chest*; 133(6Suppl): 318S-453S.
3. Westrich G, Specht LM, Sharrock NE et al (1998). Venous hemodynamics after total knee arthroplasty: Evaluation of active dorsal to plantar flexion and several mechanical compression devices. *The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery*; 80-B(6): 1057-1066.
4. Coleridge-Smith PD, Hasty JH, Scurr JH (1990). Venous stasis and vein lumen changes during surgery. *British Journal of Surgery*; 77: 1055-1059.
5. Neudecker J, Sauerland S, Neugebauer E et al (2002). The European Association for Endoscopic Surgery clinical practice guideline on the pneumoperitoneum for laparoscopic surgery. *Surgical Endoscopy*; 16: 1121-1143.
6. Griffiths NJ (1979). Factors affecting the fibrinolytic response to surgery. *Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England*; 61(1): 12-16.
7. D'Angelo A, Kluff C, Verheijen JH et al (1985). Fibrinolytic shutdown after surgery: impairment of the balance between tissue type plasminogen activator and its specific inhibitor. *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*; 15: 308-312.
8. Kassis J, Hirsh J, Podor TJ (1992). Evidence that postoperative fibrinolytic shutdown is mediated plasma factors that stimulate endothelial cell type 1 plasminogen activator inhibitor biosynthesis. *Blood*; 80(7): 1758-1764.
9. Dahl OE, Pedersen T, Kierulf P et al (1993). Sequential intrapulmonary and systemic activation of coagulation and fibrinolysis during and after total hip replacement surgery. *Thrombosis Research*; 70: 451-458.

10. Cahan MA, Hanna DJ, Wiley LA et al (2000). External pneumatic compression and fibrinolysis in abdominal surgery. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*; 32(3): 537-543.
11. Nguyen NT, Owings JT, Gosselin R et al (2001). Systemic coagulation and fibrinolysis after laparoscopic and open gastric bypass. *Archives of Surgery*; 136(8): 909-16.
12. Neudecker J, Junghans T, Ziemer S et al (2003). Prospective randomized trial to determine the influence of laparoscopic and conventional colorectal resection on intravascular fibrinolytic capacity. *Surgical Endoscopy*; 17: 73-77.
13. Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (2007). Guidelines for deep venous thrombosis prophylaxis during laparoscopic surgery. *Surgical Endoscopy*; 21: 1007-1009
14. Nguyen NT, Hinojosa MW, Fayad C et al (2007). Laparoscopic surgery is associated with a lower incidence of venous thromboembolism compared with open surgery. *Annals of Surgery*; 246(6): 1021-1027.
15. Milic DJ, Pejic VD, Zivic SS et al (2007). Coagulation status and the presence of postoperative deep vein thrombosis in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surgical Endoscopy*; 21(9): 1588-1592
16. Richardson WS, Apelgren K, Fanelli RD et al (2007). Deep venous thrombosis in laparoscopy: An evidence based review. *Surgical Endoscopy*; 21: 2335-2338.
17. Millard JA, Hill BB, Cook PS et al (1993). Intermittent sequential pneumatic compression in prevention of venous stasis associated with pneumoperitoneum during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Archives of Surgery*; 128(8): 914-8.
18. Christen Y, Reymond MA, Vogel JJ et al (1995). Hemodynamic effects of intermittent pneumatic compression of the lower limbs during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *American Journal of Surgery*; 170(4): 395-398.
19. Flam E, Berry M, Coyle A et al (1993). DVT Prophylaxis: Comparison of two thigh-high intermittent pneumatic compression systems. *Presented at the meeting of the American College of Surgeons, San Francisco October 1993.*
20. Flam E, Berry S, Coyle V et al (1996). Blood-flow augmentation of intermittent pneumatic compression systems used for the prevention of deep vein thrombosis prior to surgery. *The American Journal of Surgery*; 171(3): 312-315.
21. Flam E, Nackman G, Tarantino D, Raab L (2000). Intermittent pneumatic compression devices of the foot: A comparison of various systems on femoral vein blood flow velocity augmentation in the supine and dependent, non-weight bearing positions. *ArjoHuntleigh Clinical Report.*
22. Proctor MC, Zajkowski PJ, Wakefield TW et al (2001). Venous hemodynamic effects of pneumatic compression devices. *The Journal of Vascular Technology*; 25(3): 141-145.
23. Woodcock J, Morris R (2002). The effect of the Kendall SCD® and Huntleigh Flowtron DVT30 garments on femoral and popliteal vein blood flow measurements. *ArjoHuntleigh Clinical Report.*
24. Morris R (2003). The hematologic and hemodynamic effects of the Aircast Venaflow calf-length and the Huntleigh Flowtron Calf-length intermittent pneumatic compression for deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis. *ArjoHuntleigh Clinical Report.*
25. Morris RJ, Giddings JC, Jennings GM, Davies DA and Woodcock JP (2003). Hematological and hemodynamic comparison of the Kendall AV Impulse and the Huntleigh FP5000 Intermittent Pneumatic foot Compression System. *ArjoHuntleigh Clinical Report.*
26. Kumar S, Walker M (2002). The effects of intermittent pneumatic compression on the arterial and venous system of the lower limb: a review. *Journal of Tissue Viability*; 12(2): 58-65.
27. Giddings JC, Ralis H, Davies D, et al (2004). Systemic haemostasis after intermittent pneumatic compression. Clues for the investigation of DVT prophylaxis and travellers thrombosis. *Clin Lab Haematol*; 26(4): 269-73.
28. Morris RJ, Giddings JC, Ralis HM et al (2006). The influence of inflation rate on the haematologic and haemodynamic effects of intermittent pneumatic calf compression for deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*; 44(5): 1039-1045.
29. Pidala J, Duane L, Donovan M et al (1992). A prospective study on intermittent pneumatic compression in the prevention of deep vein thrombosis in patients undergoing total hip or total knee replacement. *Surgery*; 175: 47- 51.
30. Stone M, Limb D, Campbell P et al (1996). A comparison of intermittent calf compression and enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis in total hip replacement. *International Orthopaedics*; 20: 367-369.
31. Capper C (1998). External pneumatic compression therapy for DVT prophylaxis. *British Journal of Nursing*; 7(14): 851-854.
32. Richards S, Espahbodi S, McCarthy I et al (2001). Intermittent pneumatic foot compression for prophylaxis against thromboembolic disease in total hip replacement. *ArjoHuntleigh Clinical Report.*
33. Eskander M, Limb D, Stone M et al (1997). Sequential mechanical and pharmacological thromboprophylaxis in the surgery of hip fractures. *International Orthopaedics*; 21: 259-261.
34. Ginzburg E, Cohn S, Lopez J et al (2003). Randomised clinical trial of intermittent pneumatic compression and low molecular weight heparin in trauma. *British Journal of Surgery*; 90: 1338-1344.
35. Kurtoglu M, Yanar H, Bilisel Y (2004). Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis after head and spinal trauma: intermittent pneumatic compression devices versus low molecular weight heparin. *World Journal of Surgery*; 28(8): 807-811.
36. Montgomery JS, Wolf JS (2005). Venous thrombosis prophylaxis for urological laparoscopy: fractionated heparin versus sequential compression devices. *Journal of Urology*; 173(5): 1623-6.
37. Nicolaidis AN, Fareed J, Kakkar AK et al (2006). Prevention and treatment of venous thromboembolism – International Consensus Statement. *International Angiology*; 25(2): 101-161.
38. Bickel A, Loberant N, Bersudsky M et al (2007a). Overcoming reduced hepatic and renal perfusion caused by positive pressure pneumoperitoneum. *Archives of Surgery*; 142: 119-124
39. Bickel A, Drobot A, Aviram M et al (2007). Validation and reduction of the oxidative stress following laparoscopic operations. *Annals of Surgery*; 246: 31-35
40. Kurukahvecioglu O, Sare M, Karamercan A et al (2008). Intermittent pneumatic sequential compression of the lower extremities restores the cerebral oxygen saturation during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surgical Endoscopy*; 22(4): 907-911.
41. Lindberg F, Bergqvist D, Rasmussen I (1997). Incidence of thromboembolic complications after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Review of the literature. *Surgical Laparoscopy and Endoscopy*; 7(4): 324-331